



The Quality of Life in Ontario

Spring 1999

Ontario Social Development Council
in partnership with the
Social Planning Network of Ontario

**THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN
ONTARIO**

SPRING 1999

Written by: Malcolm Shookner For: Ontario Social Development Council

Social Planning Network of Ontario

THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN ONTARIO SPRING 1999

INTRODUCTION

The Quality of Life in Ontario has recovered almost to the level of 1990. But there is a growing “social deficit.” This deficit reflects the failure of society to care for its vulnerable populations. It refers not only to the immediate consequences of unmet basic needs, such as hunger and homelessness, but also the long term damage it inflicts, especially on the life chances of children. This is the trend revealed by the Quality of Life Index in the Spring of 1999.

This report is the fourth in the series on *The Quality of Life in Ontario*, which is published by the Ontario Social Development Council (OSDC) and the Social Planning Network of Ontario (SPNO). We are using the Quality of Life Index (QLI) as a tool to measure and monitor changes in living and working conditions which affect the quality of life in our communities.

There are twenty community partners across Ontario involved in the QLI project, using the Quality of Life Index to measure changes in their local communities (see Appendix 1). Their results are reported here along with the provincial QLI.

DEFINING “QUALITY OF LIFE”

We have developed this definition of “quality of life”, based on our research into quality of life, determinants of health, sustainable development, and human and social development. For more information, see the *QLI Literature Review* (Appendix 2).

Quality of Life

“The product of the interplay among the social, health, economic and environmental conditions which affect human and social development.”

THE QUALITY OF LIFE INDEX (QLI)

The QLI is a composite index which includes twelve indicators, three from each of four sectors - social, health, economic and environment. It was conceived, designed and developed by OSDC and SPNO as a tool for community development and action to improve the conditions which affect our quality of life. For more information about the criteria used to select these indicators, please refer to the *QLI Methodology Report* (Appendix 2).

QUALITY OF LIFE INDICATORS

SOCIAL Social assistance beneficiaries Children admitted to CAS Public housing wait lists	HEALTH Low birth weight babies Long term care wait lists New cancer cases
ECONOMY Unemployed people Working people Bankruptcies	ENVIRONMENT Air quality Toxic spills Blue Box recycling

Ontario Social Development Council & Social Planning Network of Ontario

We have collected the latest data available for each of these indicators for inclusion in the QLI. (In some cases, the latest data is one or two years old.) The method of calculating the Index is illustrated in Appendix 3.

NEW QLI INDICATOR

We are introducing a new indicator in this report to replace suicides, since the data for suicides was unreliable. We conducted a thorough search for a replacement indicator, guided by our criteria for selecting indicators: relevant to the quality of life; data collected and available; reliability of data source; data is sensitive to changes over time.

The new indicator we have selected is - **new cancer cases** - the number of new cases of all types of cancer for all ages, as collected by the Ontario Cancer Registry. Cancer touches the lives of most people in our society. It is one of the leading causes of death and affects the quality of life of patients, families and friends.

The *Harvard Report on Cancer Prevention* summarizes what we know from epidemiologic research on what does and does not cause cancer in the United States. Each chapter reviews the recent literature on several potential sources of cancer risk (e.g., smoking, diet, obesity, occupational exposure, environmental pollutants) and offers a consensus statement on what the evidence tells us at this time.
(www.hsph.harvard.edu/Organizations/Canprevent/contents.html)

One of the most important conclusions to be drawn from this report is that cancer is indeed a preventable illness. Nearly two-thirds of cancer deaths in the US can be linked to tobacco use, diet, obesity, and lack of exercise - all of which can be modified through action, both at the individual and societal level.

By addressing these risk factors, cancer mortality in the US can be reduced substantially, perhaps by as much as one-third. Progress is likely to be incremental, however, and not the result of major breakthroughs. Ultimately, the prevention of cancer will depend on changes in individual lifestyles, development and implementation of government regulations, societal change, and

“Our hope is that once public attention is brought to these findings, the idea that cancer is a preventable illness will take hold and the power of that idea will drive both individual and societal change.”

Harvard School of Public Health

further research.

Socio-economic Status

Numerous reports from different countries have confirmed that economically disadvantaged groups in society are at increased risk of cancer. Cancers of the lung, stomach, and uterine cervix, and possibly other cancers as well, are particularly common among poor and underprivileged population groups. Poverty may be thought of as an important underlying cause for these cancers, since it is associated with increased exposure to tobacco smoke, alcoholism, poor nutrition, and certain infectious agents. If

poverty is not always associated with increased incidence of cancer, it has been shown repeatedly to be related to poorer survival following the onset of cancer.

Sufficient evidence had accumulated on low socio-economic status and increased cancer risk for the director of the United States National Cancer Institute (NCI) to declare in 1991 that "poverty is a carcinogen." If something is to be done about reducing socio-economic disparities in cancer, we must look beyond the explanation that such differences result from the health habits of the poor. This is because socioeconomic differences in health arise not just as a consequence of personal choice, but also because of constraints posed by the social environment in which people lead their lives.

Socio-economic disparities in cancer are large, persistent, and possibly widening. The solution to reduce such disparities must take many forms: from action at the broadest level of society - such as social policies designed to alleviate both absolute and relative deprivation - to interventions at the level of communities - such as campaigns to remove cigarette vending machines and billboards - that help deprived neighborhoods overcome cancer. It is increasingly evident that fighting cancer also requires war on poverty. Reducing socio-economic disparities in cancer incidence and survival must involve interventions at the societal level, such as policies to alleviate poverty or to provide universal health care. Individual behavior change alone is unlikely to suffice.

Environmental Pollution


Public concern about environmental carcinogens appears to be out of proportion with the true risk. The search for carcinogenic agents from environmental pollution has been a very active area of research, yet few causal links have been firmly established. Investigations have focused on water fluoridation, chlorinated water byproducts, metabolites of organochlorine pesticides (e.g., DDT), and residential proximity to hazardous waste sites or contaminated wells.

Ecologic studies have indicated higher mortality from lung cancer in urban areas with high air pollution levels than in rural areas. On the other hand, epidemiologic studies have not documented an increased risk of lung cancer among nonsmokers living in urban rather than in rural areas but do suggest higher risks for urban smokers compared with rural smokers.

TRENDS IN THE QUALITY OF LIFE - 1990-99

The Quality of Life Index has rebounded to **99.9** in the Spring of 1999, which brings it almost back to the base value of 100 in 1990. This seems to be good news. But it has taken nine years to recover from the major economic problems we had in the early '90s. However, the recovery is not complete because of a growing "social deficit" which has short term and long term implications. See **Sectoral Trends** for more information.


Ontario's Quality of Life Index (QLI) 1990-1999



A closer look at the performance of each of the indicators (see chart on next page) shows that the main areas of progress have been in the environmental indicators, low birth weight babies, and social assistance. There are setbacks in public housing, child welfare, long term care, new cancer cases, and bankruptcies.

Progress and Setbacks

% Change in QLI 1990-99



» **Setbacks** **Progress** °

PROGRESS

We analyze the performance of each of the twelve QLI indicators in terms of progress and setbacks. This enables us to report in a balanced and comprehensive way about these key indicators of the quality of life in our communities.

Tonnes Diverted to Blue Boxes - This has been a positive trend up to 1997. Since then, the Blue Box recycling program has been threatened by the effects of provincial cutbacks in funding for municipalities, the downloading of responsibility for waste management to local governments, and the variable market for recycled materials. It's interesting to note that this positive trend reflects the importance of citizen participation to make it successful. The data for 1998 will be available this summer and will be included in our next report in the Fall of 1999.

Spills - New data for spills shows that the trend of declining reports to the Ministry of Environment and Energy (MOEE) continues through 1998. Ministry staff suggest that this is due to the tendency for people to report more significant spills, rather than trivial ones. The question of what kind of spill is reportable is up to the spiller, depending on the adverse affects of the type and quantity of the spill. There is a new regulation (Jan/99) which identifies 11 classes of exemptions from reporting. A guide for reporting is also being developed for use by companies and the public.

Air Quality - The 1997 data for air quality shows a positive trend of fewer hours of moderate to poor air quality. Meanwhile, there are many reports of growing problems with air pollution. See **Context** for more information. The number of monitoring stations has been reduced from 34 to 27, which may have affected the Air Quality Index used by the Ministry of Environment and Energy. Many communities that are not within range of the remaining sites (27) don't have any information about their air quality.

Low Birth Weight Babies - This encouraging trend of fewer low birth weight babies being born during the '90s could be attributed to the effects of pre-natal, nutrition, and parent education programs provided by health units, community health centres, social agencies and community organizations.

Social Assistance Beneficiaries - There is a significant decline in the number of people who are receiving social assistance. This seems to be good news. But the reasons for this decline are complex and not fully understood. Surveys done of people leaving welfare by the Ministry of Community and Social Services and by Workfare Watch, an independent monitoring group, have found that more than 50% of people leaving social assistance are finding jobs with low wages and no benefits. But many others have not been located because they have no phones, have moved, or have lost their housing and are staying in shelters. Stricter rules of eligibility and enforcement have resulted in people being taken off the welfare rolls with no other options for income support. This good news story has a dark underside that puts its apparent progress into question. Growing problems of homelessness and hunger have been well documented.

Local follow-up studies are being done in Hamilton, Halton, and Sault Ste. Marie.

SETBACKS

Children Admitted to Child Welfare - Child welfare authorities report that more children are being admitted to care for economic reasons, such as lack of housing or food insecurity, than in previous years. Over 80% of families on the caseloads of Children's Aid Societies are living in poverty. Amendments to the Child and Family Services Act were made in May, 1999 that will allow child welfare authorities to take action to protect children who are at risk of emotional abuse or neglect. This new legislation will tilt the scales of child welfare away from the principle of "least intrusive alternative" toward protecting the "best interests of the child" above all. This is likely to result in more admissions to care. The question of whether child welfare agencies will have adequate resources to handle more children is unanswered at this time. From the child welfare perspective, more admissions may be seen as a good sign that children are being better protected from adverse family conditions. From a quality of life perspective, higher admissions may suggest a failure on the part of our society to adequately support parents in raising their children.

Public Housing Waiting Lists - The rising number of people on waiting lists for public housing represents our biggest setback in the Quality of Life Index since 1990. This is a result of federal and provincial governments getting out of the business of building and maintaining social housing for people who cannot afford market rents. Responsibility for social housing has been downloaded to municipalities, which have fewer resources to cope with the problem. The social housing stock is static and deteriorating. The situation has been worsened by the repeal of rent controls and the growth in market rents. The private sector has not picked up the slack by building new rental accommodation which is affordable. See **Context** for more on this issue.

Elderly Waiting for Long Term Care - The number of elderly waiting for long term care placements is a growing problem, in spite of government announcements about funding being committed to long term care. The flow of funds into the system is slow in coming and is spread out thinly over an eight year period. Considering the time it takes to get new beds up and running, it is likely that the waiting lists will continue to grow in the short to medium term. This will put added pressure on families, as well as on social and health services, to cope with the needs of this population. The demographics of a steadily aging population cast a long shadow over the future health and well-being of our older citizens.

New Cancer Cases - This new indicator that we have added to the QLI shows a small negative trend since 1990. Socio-economic disparities in cancer are large, persistent, and possibly widening, as noted earlier in this report. Data for 1997, which will be available this summer, may show whether this is a growing problem or not. See **Context** for more on this issue

Bankruptcies - The number of bankruptcies reported to the Superintendent of Bankruptcies has declined from record levels in 1996, but it is still a significant setback from the good news about economic recovery. A recent report in the Globe and Mail notes that "two consecutive years of decline is

noteworthy, considering that bankruptcies had been on a steady climb since 1980.” (May 3, 1999). Industry Canada analysts indicate that better business management, not just better times, has been keeping companies afloat. A strong economy helps, but decisions made at the micro level seem to matter more (Ibid.). This is still an important measure of economic distress which affects the quality of life of individuals and families relying on income from business for their livelihoods.


Labour Force Working - The number of people working has almost returned to the level of 1990, before the major recession of the early '90s. When population growth is taken into account, the recovery is not complete. This is still a setback in our view, when other aspects of the labour market are taken into account, such as the decline in per capita income in the '90s. See **Context** for more information.

Labour Force Unemployed - The number of people who are unemployed has declined almost to the point where it was in 1990, before the major recession of 1991-93. We've regained lost ground, but have made no progress on the problem of unemployment. Evidence from other sources suggests that there are growing problems with underemployment and the replacement of good jobs with livable wages by low wage jobs with no benefits (See *The Quality of Life in Ontario - Fall 1998*. Appendix 1) See **Context** for more information.

SECTORAL TRENDS

We decided to take a look at the sectoral trends for the QLI indicators to see how the progress and setbacks are distributed. It shows a disturbing undercurrent to the QLI. When the social, economic, health and environmental trends are plotted separately, we get this pattern.

QLI Sectoral Trends 1990-99



The social indicators in the QLI have been hardest hit since 1990, showing a decline of 21%. A **social deficit** has emerged in the '90s as a result of changes in public policies and the globalization of the economy. The short term fiscal gains made by governments through drastic cuts in public services have come at the expense of long term pain for the vulnerable groups most affected by these negative social trends - children, the elderly, and the poor, who are mainly women and children. The gradual economic recovery is not being matched by a social recovery. The social assistance numbers have improved considerably since 1998, registering a positive effect on the QLI. But this apparent positive trend masks serious social problems for many leaving welfare. See **Context** for more information.

The health trends have declined by 10%, with two out of three indicators having a lower QLI value in 1999. The lone positive trend is the steady decline in low birth weight babies. The trend line from 1990-95 is holding close to the base value because the base year for long term care data is 1996. This means that the value of this indicator was held constant at the base value from 1990-96, neutralizing its effect on the QLI until 1997.


The economic indicators have shown a 14% decline since 1990. They have also had the most dramatic change over the period, with a steep decline in 1992, followed by an up and down cycle, with a strong recovery in 1999. The labour market indicators, people working and unemployed, have shown significant improvement, but bankruptcies continue to be a strong negative trend.

The environmental trends are very positive, with the QLI value for Spring 1999 being 43% higher than the base value in 1990. Though all three environmental indicators have shown steady improvement, there are major concerns being raised by environmental groups about the state of our environment. See **Context** for more information.

A more detailed picture of these trends is presented by the charts on the following pages.


SOCIAL TRENDS

Social Assistance Beneficiaries
Rate per 10,000 Population




One of the three social indicators, social assistance beneficiaries, has shown a significant numerical improvement over the past few years. While this trend has had a positive impact on the QLI, it is not all good news. Changes in social assistance rates, eligibility and entitlements have adversely affected many people trying to survive on welfare and are closely linked to reduced caseloads. See **Context**.

Child Welfare Admissions to Care
Rate per 10,000 Population



The number of children admitted to child welfare authorities because their parents can't care for them is a measure of the lack of support families have to raise children.


Public Housing Wait Lists
Rate per 10,000 Population



The growing waiting list for public housing signals the housing crisis experienced by many people living on low or moderate incomes. See **Context**.


HEALTH TRENDS

Low Birth Weight Babies
Rate per 10,000 Population




The health indicators in the QLI have been mixed in their performance. A steady decline in low birth weight babies is the good news story.

Elderly Waiting for Long Term Care
Rate per 10,000 Population



But the growing waiting list of elderly waiting for long term care is a serious problem.


New Cancer Cases
Rate per 10,000 Population



Our new indicator, new cancer cases, has shown a negative trend from the base year for data to 1996. We will see how the 1997 data affects the trend.


ECONOMIC TRENDS

**Labour Force Working
Rate per 10,000 Population**




The two labour force indicators have gradually recovered from the deep recession that hit in 1991. The number of people working has almost returned to 1990 levels.

**Labour Force Unemployed
Rate per 10,000 Population**



The number of people who are unemployed has fallen almost to the 1990 level. Many people have left the labour force to attend school, others have been unemployed for longer than a year and are no longer counted.


**Bankruptcies
Rate per 10,000 Population**



The number of bankruptcies has declined from peak levels, but is still a significant problem for the economy.


ENVIRONMENTAL TRENDS

Hours Moderate/Poor Air Quality
Rate per 10,000 Population




Air quality is showing improvement. But there have been independent reports of serious problems with air pollution in the last two years. See **Context**.

Spills Reported
Rate per 10,000 Population



The steady decline in spills reported to the Ministry of Environment and Energy is good news. But the decline is related to reduced industrial activity and reduced regulations that rely on voluntary reporting.

Tonnes Diverted to Blue Box
Rate per 10,000 Population



The success of the Blue Box recycling program is cause for celebration. It reflects citizen participation in the program. But recent downloading to the municipalities may affect this positive trend.

CONTEXT FOR THE QUALITY OF LIFE INDEX

The QLI, like any tool, has its limitations. Its main limitation is the use of only twelve indicators to measure such a complex concept as “quality of life.” The QLI is meant to provoke public discussion of issues affecting our quality of life. It’s not intended to be the last word on the subject.

To compensate for this limitation, our *Fall 1998* report included information on key trends and issues in the four domains of quality of life as a larger context for interpreting the trends identified by the QLI.

We continue this feature by reporting on the latest developments which affect our quality of life in Ontario.

Health and Wealth

A new report from the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, *Health and Wealth: How Social and Economic Factors Affect our Well Being*, documents the effects of income inequality on population health. Federal, Provincial, and Territorial Ministers of Health acknowledge that living and working conditions, early child development and physical environment are major influences on

population health. Health officials have acknowledged the key things that need to be done, such as creating a thriving and sustainable economy with meaningful work for all, ensuring an adequate income for all Canadians, reducing the number of families living in poverty, ensuring an equitable distribution of income, and making sure there is suitable, adequate and affordable housing.

But the National Forum on Health (1996) found that “governments and public administrators have not demonstrated in their decisions any appreciation of the impact of social and economic determinants and their impact on the health of individuals and communities.”

Researchers are now finding that, in the developed world, it is not the richest countries that have the best health, but the most egalitarian.

Personal Security

A new report released by the Canadian Council for Social Development, *Personal Security Index 1999*, says that Canadians are living longer, healthier lives, but they are

“The quality of the social life of a society is one of the most powerful determinants of health. And this, in turn, is very closely related to the degree of income inequality.”

Richard Wilkinson

Unhealthy Societies: the Afflictions of Inequality

“Governments’ fights against inflation and deficits over the last 10 years have severely hampered Canadians’ economic security...60 per cent of Canadians have no confidence in the ability of government support programs such as Employment Insurance to sustain them should they become unemployed.”

Pierre Laliberté

Canadian Council for Social Development

feeling more financially insecure than ever. The new PSI combines hard data about Canadians' economic and physical well-being along with custom polling data on Canadians' perceptions about such things as job security, access to health care and exposure to crime.

Income and Child Well-Being

Children in families with an income below \$30,000 are at a much greater risk than others of poor health and are more likely to have difficulty in the classroom, according to a new study by the Canadian Council on Social Development, *Income and Child Well-Being: A New Perspective on the Poverty Debate* (May, 1999). The report examines the effects of family income on children's health, behaviour, learning outcomes and participation in their community.

“The chances of children developing into healthy, productive adults increase steadily as family income rises to \$30,000. Below that level, children are far more likely to live in unsafe or unfriendly neighbourhoods or to exhibit disruptive behaviours in school.”

David Ross

Canadian Council on Social Development

The report shows that the level of family income needed to promote healthy child development is greater than Statistics Canada's low income cut-off (LICO) for a two parent family and well above the poverty line proposed by the Fraser Institute.

Homelessness - A National Disaster

Homelessness has been declared a national disaster by cities across Canada and by the Big City Mayor's Caucus of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities. The United Nations has issued two recent reports that express "grave concerns" about the problem of homelessness in Canada as a violation of human rights (December, 1998, April, 1999). More people are living on the streets, shelters can't keep up with the need, more families are camped out in shelters and motels.

There are many reasons why people find themselves without a home. Many of them are affected by Ontario government policies which have moved it out of the social housing business, repealed rent controls, cut social assistance by 22%, and withdrawn funding for social services. A National Summit on Homelessness was held recently in Toronto to bring together all levels of government, community groups, homeless people, and the private sector to look for solutions to this disaster.

“More than 300,000 tenant households across Ontario are at risk of becoming homeless, and almost half of all tenant households in the province face affordability problems.”

Housing Needs in Ontario, 1999

Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association and
Co-operative Housing Federation of Canada

A new study on housing needs by the Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association (ONPHA)

and the Cooperative Housing Federation of Canada - Ontario Region (CHF Ontario) sheds more light on the issues (April, 1999) The findings prove that the housing crisis isn't restricted to Toronto. All eleven centres in the study reported a huge and growing problem.

Homelessness is a complex issue. It represents the failure of our society, our governments, and the marketplace to provide adequate and affordable housing for everyone. It is a violation of our basic human rights. What can be done to address the problem?

Housing activists assert that solutions to the housing crisis lie in the reversal of government policies at both federal and provincial levels:

- TReinvest in building and maintaining social housing of all kinds.
- TBuild new public housing and repair the current stock.
- TBring back rent controls.
- TRaise social assistance rates to cover the real costs of housing.

Is the Economy Recovering?

In the Fall of 1998, we reported that the standard of living, as measured by average income per capita, has declined steadily in the 1990s. This is consistent with the economic trends in the QLI, which have been strongly negative and exerted downward pressure on the QLI for most of the decade.

“The incomes of Canadian families have failed to keep pace as the economy has pulled out of the deep recession of the early 1990s.”
Statistics Canada, 1999

A new study by Statistics Canada reports that family incomes have been stagnant in the 1990s (*Toronto Star*, April 15, 1999). The findings underscored the problem Canadian families have had getting ahead during a decade marked by prolonged high unemployment and cuts in government assistance.

A report by the Urban Futures Institute, *A Decade of Jobs and Pay in Canada: A Perspective on Canada's National and Regional Economies*, says that Ontario has lost more jobs per capita than any other province over the past ten years (*Toronto Star*, March 31, 1999). Ontario has also suffered the biggest decline in payroll per capita (7.2%).

The State of the Environment

The QLI's environmental indicators have recorded positive trends since 1990, which have had an upward effect on the QLI. The Spring 1999 report continues to show this trend. But we have qualified this “progress” with a caution about looser regulations, ministry cutbacks reducing its capacity for inspections, fewer sites for reporting air quality, and the impacts of cutbacks and downloading on

municipalities. All of these factors threaten our environment.

A new report by the Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy, *Ontario's Environment and the "Common Sense Revolution": A Four Year Report*, was released in April, 1999 (Toronto Star, April 12, 1999). It highlights government policies that include drastic cuts to ministries overseeing the environment and the offloading of provincial responsibilities in that area. The report says the government's environmental policies have followed three themes:

- <Reforms to laws and regulations dealing with environmental protection and resource management.
- <Huge budget and staff cuts to any ministry or agency responsible for environmental protection.
- <Shifting increasing environmental responsibilities to municipalities and industry.

The report also notes that, "environmental information is simply no longer being gathered and made available to the public. The province has also terminated reporting on its own environmental activities." This has direct implications for the Quality of Life Index. We have previously reported on the problems we have encountered with getting data on air quality and the implications of seven fewer reporting sites across Ontario.

An Environmental Agenda for Ontario

An Environmental Agenda for Ontario was prepared by members of the Ontario environment community, led by the Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy and the Ontario Environment Network. Released in April, 1999, it formed the basis of an all-party debate on the environment.

"The ecological and social foundations for well-being in Ontario are being strained. Economic shortsightedness, combined with inattention to environmental quality and social justice, is undermining our health, security, and long term economy."
An Environmental Agenda for Ontario

Everywhere are signs of decreased quality of life in Ontario that result from widespread environmental degradation (Ibid.). Examples from the Environmental Agenda:

- <Poor air quality results in 1,800 premature deaths each year.
- <The total amount of hazardous waste disposed of has grown by 50% from 1994-97.
- <Over one third of drinking water wells in rural Ontario contain at least one contaminant.
- <More than 45,000 people are diagnosed with cancer in Ontario each year.
- <Dramatic growth in homelessness.

<The number of children living in poverty has more than doubled since 1989.
<The disparity in incomes between the well-to-do and the poor is increasing.
The agenda is laid out in five areas with policy and program recommendations in each area:

- > Protecting Human Health
- > Food, Water, Materials and Energy
- > Building Sustainable Communities
- > Protecting and Restoring Nature
- > Strengthening Democracy

Cancer

A new study by the Canadian Cancer Society, Statistics Canada and Health Canada reports that the overall incidence of cancer is increasing due to growth and aging of the population (Toronto Star April 9, 1999).

The bad news is that lung cancer is reaching epidemic proportions as a major killer of women.

“The cancer risk that each of us, as individuals, face is not increasing.”
Canadian Cancer Society, Statistics Canada, Health Canada


LOCAL QLI REPORTS

The Quality of Life Index is being used by twenty community partners in the QLI project to monitor and measure changes in local living conditions. The same methodology and data set is used by the provincial and local reports so that comparisons can be made and trends monitored. This is one of the greatest benefits of the QLI, its comparative framework based on a common set of indicators. It allows communities to compare their progress on improving the quality of life in three ways:

- <Over time in their own community
- <With other communities
- <With the provincial QLI

The following chart shows local QLIs, based on reports done by our community partners. See Appendix 4 for the Bibliography of Local QLI Reports.

Local QLIs Spring 1999



Quality of Life and Public Policies

Our quality of life has been the subject of public debate from many different points of view this spring. The trends and issues we have identified through the Quality of Life Index provide a basis for raising issues of public policy for attention by the newly elected provincial government. As a public service, we suggest a few:

What will you do to alleviate poverty for children and families?

Will you restore the 21.6% cuts to social assistance rates?

What solutions do you offer for the housing crisis?

What is your position on new public investments in social housing?

Will you increase funding for child welfare to protect children and support families?

What plans do you have to eliminate the waiting lists for long term care?

What will you do to promote the creation of jobs with a livable wage and benefits?

What can be done to address the problem of high youth unemployment?

How will you address the problems of poor air quality?

Will you support the expansion of the Blue Box program?

How will you address problems of hazardous waste disposal?

There are many more questions that could be raised about the issues which affect our quality of life.

"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world. Indeed, it's the only thing that ever has."

Margaret Mead

CLOSING COMMENTS

We hope that you will find this report to be both informative and useful to you in your own work on improving the quality of life in our communities. Our next report will be released in the Fall of 1999.

Watch our website for the latest news on the Quality of Life Index project.

www.qli-ont.org

Report by: Malcolm Shookner
Ontario Social Development Council
May 31, 1999

Appendix 1 QLI Community Partners

PROJECT WORKING GROUP

Tel. 905-632-1975 Fax. 905-632-0778
Net: hspc@worldchat.com

PROJECT DIRECTOR

Malcolm Shookner
Ontario Social Development Council
2 Carlton St., Suite 1001
Toronto, ON M5B 1J3
Tel. 416-345-8561 Fax. 416-345-8904
Net: osdc@web.net

RESEARCH ASSOCIATE

Bruce Schwartzenruber
Community ProAction Consulting Associates
77 Geoffrey St.
Toronto, ON
Tel: 416-588-0103 Fax: 416-530-5911
Net: brucesch@web.net

Leela Viswanathan
Community Social Planning Council of Toronto
2 Carlton St. Ste 1001
Toronto, ON M5B 1J3
Tel. 416-351-0095 Fax. 416-351-0107
Net: cspc@cspc.toronto.on.ca
lviswanathan@cspc.toronto.on.ca

Janet Comis/Sheila Almas
Kingston Social Planning Council
175 Rideau St.
Kingston, ON K7K 3H6
Tel. 613-542-7316 Fax. 613-542-1043
Net: spc@adan.kingston.net
(SA) almasds@kingston.net

Ted Hildebrandt
Halton Social Planning Council
760 Brant Street Ste.406 B
Burlington, ON L7R 4B7

Mark Fraser
Social Planning Research Council of
Hamilton-Wentworth
255 West Avenue North
Hamilton, ON L8L 5C8
Tel. 905-522-1148 Fax. 905-522-9124
Net: sprc_mf@yahoo.com

Frances Adams
Peterborough Social Planning Council
267 Stewart Street
Peterborough, ON K9J 3M8
Tel. 705-743-5915 Fax. 705-743-3318
Net: pspc@peterboro.net

Brenda Reimer
Lakehead Social Planning Council
125 Syndicate Ave. S.
Victoria Mall
Thunder Bay, ON P7E 6H8
Tel. 807-626-9650 Fax. 807-625-9427
Net: lspc@norlink.net
breimer@web.net

Roni Summers-Wickens/Scott Henderson
Community Development Council of Quinte
C4-344 Front Street
Belleville, ON K8N 5M4
Tel. 613-968-2466 Fax. 613-968-2251
Net: cdc@lks.net
shenderson@intranet.ca

COMMUNITY PARTNERS

BRANTFORD/BRANT

Caroline Ball
Executive Director
Brant Community Social Planning Council
173 Colborne Street
Brantford, ON N3T 2G9
Tel. 519-754-1081 Fax. 519-759-0835
Net: spc@bfree.on.ca

CAMBRIDGE/NORTH DUMFRIES

Gloria Desantis
Executive Director
Social Planning Council of Cambridge & North
Dumfries
30 Parkhill Rd. West
Cambridge, ON N1S 1C9
Tel. 519-623-1713 Fax. 519-621-2628
Net: spccam@sentex.net

DURHAM

Carla Rhody
Ajax-Pickering Social Development Council
132A Commercial Av.
Ajax, ON L1S 2H5
Tel. 905-686-2661
Fax. 905-686-4157
Net: socialdev@interhop.net

GUELPH/WELLINGTON

Wendy Dempsey
United Way & Community Services of
Guelph/Wellington
161 Waterloo Ave.
Guelph, ON N1H 3H9
Tel. 519-821-0571 x 29 Fax. 519-821-7847
Net: comserv@golden.net

KITCHENER-WATERLOO

Arli Klassen
Social Planning Council of Kitchener-Waterloo
25 Frederick St., Suite 120
Kitchener, ON N2H 6M8
Tel: 519-579-0220 Fax: 519-578-9185
Net: cicwr@spc-lamp.org

NORTH BAY

Lynn Ann Laurialt
North Bay SPC
269 Main St. West, Ste. 207
North Bay, ON P1B 2T8
Tel. 705-472-0200 Fax. 705-472-1659
Net: nbsp@efni.com

OTTAWA-CARLETON

Luc Ladouceur/Farah Habib
Social Planning Council of Ottawa-Carleton
280 Metcalfe St., Suite 501
Ottawa, ON K2P 1R7
Tel: 613-236-9300 Fax: 613-236-7060
Net: lucl@spcottawa.on.ca

PEEL

Paula DeCoito/Dominic Storti
Peel Social Planning Council
977 Pantera Dr. Ste. 8
Mississauga, ON L4W 2T4
Tel. 905-629-3044 Fax. 905-629-7773
Net: spcpeel@netrover.com

SAULT STE. MARIE

Cheryl Linklater
Algoma Social Planning Council
8 Albert Street East
Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 2H6
Tel: (705) 253-3246
Net: aspc@soonet.ca
us.eh@sympatico.ca

SOMALI COMMUNITY

Mahad Yusuf
Somali Immigrant Aid Organization Toronto
1778 Weston Rd., Ste. 105
Toronto, ONM9N 1V8
Tel: (416) 243-1988 Fax: (416) 243-2903
Net: siao@idirect.com

SOUTH TEMISKAMING

Michael Cole
Healthy Communities South Temiskaming
c/o Temiskaming Hospital
421 Shepherdson Rd., Box 4040
New Liskeard, ON P0J 1P0
Tel: (705) 647-8121x2284
Net: temihosp@ntl.sympatico.ca

SUDBURY

Janet Gasparini
Social Planning Council of Sudbury Region
Nolin Bldg, Suite 201
435 Notre Dame Ave.
Sudbury, ON P3C 5K6
Tel. 705-675-3894 Fax. 705-675-3253
Net: spc@vianet.on.ca

WOOLWICH

Dr. Susan Wismer
Woolwich Sustainable Community Group
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1.
Net: swismer@watserv1.uwaterloo.ca

Joy Finney
Woolwich Community Health Centre
10 Parkside Dr.
St. Jacobs, ON N0B 2N0
Tel: (519) 664-3794 Fax: (519) 664-2182

Appendix 2QLI Background Papers

The Quality of Life in Ontario - 1997(disposible en francais)

The Quality of Life in Ontario - Spring 1998

Annotated Bibliography

Literature Review

Methodology Report(disposible en francais)

Reference List

Summary of Indicators

Visit our website for more information:

www.qli-ont.org

Or contact:

Ontario Social Development Council
2 Carlton Street, Suite 1001
Toronto, Ontario M5B 1J3
Canada

Tel: (416) 345-8561

Fax:(416) 345-8904

Net:osdc@web.net

Appendix 3 QLI Spring 1999 Spreadsheet

QUALITY OF LIFE INDEX						
Spring1999						
INDICATORS	Base Rate/10,000	BASE QLI	Spr99 Rate/10,000	%CHANGE	QLI IMPACT	Spr99 QLI
Social Indicators						
Social Assistance Beneficiaries	780	8.3	555.4	-28.8%	Pos	10.7
Children Admitted to CAS	8.4	8.3	10.3	22.6%	Neg	6.4
Public Housing Waiting Lists	40	8.3	67.7	69.3%	Neg	2.6
Sub-total		25.0				19.7
Economic Indicators						
Labour Force Working	5,053	8.3	4,989.0	-1.3%	Neg	8.2
Labour Force Unemployed	339	8.3	350.0	3.2%	Neg	8.1
Bankruptcies Reported	19	8.3	25.8	35.8%	Neg	5.3
Sub-total		8.3				21.6
Health Indicators						
New Cancer Cases	38.6	8.3	40.7	5.4%	Neg	7.9
Elderly Long Term Care Waiting List	13	8.3	19.3	48.5%	Neg	4.3
# Low Birth Weight Babies	8.5	8.3	6.3	-25.6%	Pos	10.5
Sub-total		8.3				22.6
Environmental Indicators						
Hours Poor/Moderate Air Quality	16.2	8.3	9.5	-41.4%	Pos	11.8
Spills	5.5	8.3	3.6	-34.5%	Pos	11.2
Tonnes Diverted to Blue Box	340	8.3	528.4	55.4%	Pos	12.9
Sub-total		8.3				35.9
QLI COMPOSITE INDEX		100.0				99.9

Appendix 4 Bibliography of Local QLI Reports

Algoma Social Planning Council, *The City of Sault Ste. Marie: Quality of Life Index Preliminary Report*, January, 1999.

Community Development Council of Quinte, *The Best Place to Live in Ontario? The Quality of Life Index Project Report*, Fall/Winter, 1999.

Community Social Planning Council of Toronto, *Quality of Life Index: A Report Card for the City of Toronto*, Summer/Fall 1998, May, 1999.

Halton Social Planning Council and Volunteer Centre, *The Quality of Life in Halton*, 1997, 1999.

Lakehead Social Planning Council, *The Quality of Life in Thunder Bay*, Fall, 1998, Spring, 1999.

Peterborough Social Planning Council, *Quality of Life in Peterborough: Measuring what we value*, 1998.

Social Planning Councils of Cambridge, North Dumfries, and Kitchener-Waterloo, *Quality of Life in Waterloo Region*, March, 1999.

Social Planning Council of Kingston and Area, *The Quality of Life in Kingston and Area*, 1998.

United Way/Community Services of Guelph and Wellington, *The Quality of Life in Guelph and Wellington County*, 1997, 1999.

Appendix 5References

Canadian Council on Social Development, *Personal Security Index 1999*, Ottawa, April, 1999.

Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy, *Ontario's Environment and the "Common Sense Revolution": A Four Year Report*, Toronto, April, 1999.

Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy and the Ontario Environment Network, *An Environmental Agenda for Ontario*, Toronto, April, 1999.

National Forum on Health, *Advancing the Dialogue on Health and Health Care: A Consultation Document*, Ottawa, 1996.

Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association and Co-operative Housing Federation of Canada, *Where's Home? A Picture of Housing Needs in Ontario*, Toronto, 1999.

Ross, David P. and Roberts, Paul, *Income and Child Well-being*, Canadian Council on Social Development, Ottawa, May, 1999.

Towson, Monica, *Health and Wealth: How Social and Economic Factors Affect our Well Being*, Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, Ottawa, 1999.

United Nations Committee on Civil and Political Rights, *Report on Canada's Compliance with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*, New York, April, 1999.

United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *Report on Canada's Compliance with the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, Geneva, December, 1998.

Urban Futures Institute, *A Decade of Jobs and Pay in Canada: A Perspective on Canada's National and Regional Economies*, Toronto, 1999.

Wilkinson, Richard , *Unhealthy Societies: the Afflictions of Inequality*, London: Routledge, 1996.